

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 981 of 1989

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATIONS No 2481 and 2151 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MANISHKUMAR BANSIBHAI

Versus

PRINCIPAL

Appearance:

MR SI NANAVATI for Petitioner

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

Date of decision: 03/03/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

By means of filing these petitions under Article
226 of the Constitution of India, it was prayed to issue

a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order directing the respondent NO.1 to accept the examination form of the petitioner and other similarly situated students for the First Year P.T.C. examination. It was also prayed to direct the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to permit the petitioner and other similarly situated students, to appear at the examination to be held in the month of April, 1989.

2. It may be stated that by common order dtd. April 15, 1989, rendered in the above numbered petitions, the interim relief was refused. With the passage of time, I am of the opinion that the petitions have become infructuous and deserve to be disposed of accordingly.

3. For the foregoing reasons, all the three petitions fail. The petitions stand disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged in each petition with no order as to costs.

Date : 3/3/2000. (J.M. PANCHAL, J.)

rafik